

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,113	03/22/2000		Joseph R. Byrum	38-21(15761)B (16517.001)	4899
27161	7590	06/07/2006		EXA	MINER
MONSAN'	го сом	PANY	WILDER	WILDER, CYNTHIA B	
800 N. LINI	DBERGH :	BLVD.			
ATTENTIO	N: GAIL I	P. WUELLNER, IP F	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63167				1637	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/531,113	BYRUM ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.	1637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 8-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmont(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/29/2006 has been entered.

Status of the claims

2. Claims 1 and 8-13 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 (Lack of Utility)

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. The pending claims have been reviewed in light of the Utility Examination Guidelines and Guidelines for Examination of Patent Application under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, "Written Description" requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1092-1111, Friday, January 5, 2001.

The examiner is using the following definitions in evaluating the claims for utility:

Credible Utility" - Where an Applicant has specifically asserted that an invention has a particular utility, that assertion cannot simply be dismissed by Office personnel as being "wrong". Rather, Office personnel must determine if the assertion of utility is credible (i.e., whether the assertion of utility is believable to a person of ordinary skill in the art based on the totality of evidence and reasoning provided). An assertion is credible unless (A) the logic underlying the assertion is seriously flawed, or (b) the facts upon which the assertion is based is inconsistent with the logic underlying the assertion. Credibility as

Application/Control Number: 09/531,113

Art Unit: 1637

used in this context refers to the reliability of the statement based on the logic and facts that are offered by the Applicant to support the assertion of utility. A credible utility is assessed from the standpoint of whether a person of ordinary skill in the art would accept that the recited or disclosed invention is currently available for such use. For example, no perpetual motion machines would be considered to be currently available. However, nucleic acids could be used as probes, chromosome markers, or forensic or diagnostic markers. Therefore, the credibility of such an assertion would not be questioned, although such a use might fail the specific and substantial tests (see below).

"Specific Utility" - A utility that is *specific* to the subject matter claimed. This contrasts with a *general* utility that would be applicable to the broad class of the invention.

"Substantial utility" - a utility that defines a "real world" use. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utilities.

"Well established utility" - a specific, substantial, and credible utility which is well known, immediately apparent, or implied by the specification's disclosure of the properties of a material, alone or taken with the knowledge of one skilled in the art. "Well established utility" does not encompass any "throw away" utility that one can dream up for an invention or a nonspecific utility that would apply to virtually every member of a general class of materials, such as proteins or DNA.

5. Claims 1, 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility due to its not being supported by either specific and/or substantial utility or a well established utility.

The claimed subject matter is not supported by a specific; substantial or a well established utility because the disclosed uses are generally applicable to broad classes of this subject matter. In addition, further characterization of the claimed subject matter would be required to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" use.

The claimed invention is drawn to a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule that encodes a soybean protein or fragment thereof comprising a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 5981 or a substantially purified nucleic acid molecule comprising a sequence having between 100% and 90% sequence identity with a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 5981 or complement thereof. As noted earlier, a well-established utility is defined as a specific, substantial and credible utility which is well known, immediately apparent or implied by the

Application/Control Number: 09/531,113

Art Unit: 1637

specification's disclosure of the properties of the a material, alone or take with the knowledge of one skilled in the art. The specification discloses a number of general utilities for the nucleic acid molecule disclosed herein. For example, the specification discloses that the nucleic acid molecules may be used as molecule tags to isolate genetic regions, isolate genes, map genes and determine gene function (page 15), in marker-assisted breeding programs (page 16), as antibodies (page 16), as primer and probes for the isolation of full length cDNAs or genes (page 28), in mutation detection (page 37), in the identification of polymorphism (page 38), as molecular markers (page 50), genetic mapping studies (page 49), in DNA-protein interaction (page 52) in methods of identifying chromosomes with translocation (page 52), in method of protein-protein interaction (page 60), in microarray based methods (page 54), in site directed mutagenesis (page 56) and in methods of transformation (page 61). None of theses asserted utilities are specific because the disclosed uses of the nucleic acids are generally applicable to any nucleic acid and therefore are not particular to the nucleic acid sequence being claimed. Likewise no direct connection is made between the claimed sequence and any of the numerous utilities claimed. The examples beginning at page 85 do not provide any disclosure which demonstrates the functionality of the claimed nucleic acid sequence or fragments thereof or complement thereof as for example, probes and/or primers to detect a mutation or as marker to determine gene function. Thus, further research is required to determine the specific utility of the claimed nucleic acid sequence.

Further, the claimed nucleic acid and/or the encoded protein are not supported by a substantially utility because no substantial utility has been established for the claimed subject matter. For example, a nucleic acid may be utilized to obtain a protein. The protein could then

Application/Control Number: 09/531,113 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

be used in conducting research to functionally characterize the protein. The need for such research clearly indicates that the protein and/or its function is not disclosed as to a currently available or substantial utility. A starting material that can only be used to produce a final product does not have substantial asserted utility in those instances where the final product is not supported by a specific and substantial utility. In this case none of the proteins that are to be produced as final products resulting from processes involving claimed nucleic acid have asserted or identified specific and substantial utilities. The research contemplated by Applicant to characterize potential protein products, especially their biological activities, does not constitute a specific and substantial utility. Identifying and studying the properties of a protein itself or the mechanisms in which the protein is involved does not define a "real world" context or use. Similarly, the claimed use of the nucleic acid the instant specification is neither substantial nor specific due to being generic in nature and applicable to a myriad of nucleic molecules Note, because the claimed invention is not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility for the reasons set forth above, credibility has not been assessed. Neither the specification as filed nor any art of record discloses or suggests any property or activity for the nucleic acid or the encoded protein such that another non-asserted utility would be well established for the compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-16 and 35-38 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/531,113

Art Unit: 1637

Conclusion

Page 6

6. No claims are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0791. The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be emailed to cynthia.wilder@uspto.gov. Since email communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such request be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/30/2006